



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,735	10/045,735 01/15/2002		Ram Gangadharan		9672
30896	7590	02/22/2005		EXAM	INER
RAM GAN				PITARO, RYAN F	
106 W. HOI THE WOOI		TX 77384		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2174	

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/045,735	GANGADHARAN, RAM				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ryan F Pitaro	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 January 2002.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.	•				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in a e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/045,735 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-6 have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A (1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: each claim should be in sentence form, free of grammatical errors including the use of capital letters where the word is not proper or beginning a sentence. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

In this case the claim is structured as more than one sentence.

5. Claim 2,4,6 recites the limitation "the desired folder" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gulfcoast
 On-line Development, Inc ("Gulfcoast", "How to FTP (or transfer) Your Homepage to
 Our Server).

As per independent claim 1, Gulfcoast discloses a system for implementing an electronic file transfer from a web enabled device to a web server in response to an iconic drag and drop interface (Page 1 lines 18-22), where a user drags the files from

Application/Control Number: 10/045,735 Page 4

Art Unit: 2174

the said web enabled device to a specific location on the website hosted by the said web server (Page 1 lines 33-38), the system comprising: means for capturing information about the selected files when the user drags them from the said web enabled device and drops them onto a specific location of the said website (Page 1 lines 33-38); means for transferring the files from the said web enabled device to the said web server using an existing internet connection (Page 1 lines 19-22).

As per independent claim 2, Gulfcoast discloses a system for implementing an electronic file transfer from a web server to a web enabled device in response to an iconic drag and drop interface, where a user drags the files from a website hosted by the said web server to the said web enabled device the system comprising (Page 1 lines 18-22): means for selecting multiple files in a web browser (Page 1 lines 40-43); means for capturing information about the selected files when the user drags them from the said website and drops them onto the desired folder of the said web enabled device (Page 1 lines 40-43); means for transferring the files from the said web server to the said web enabled device using an existing Internet connection(Page 1 lines 18-22).

Claim 3 and 5 are individually similar in scope to that of claim 1 and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 4 and 6 are individually similar in scope to that of claim 2 and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 6,233,600 teaches a drag and drop file transfer interface.
- 6,407,752 teaches a method for a user interface for remote ftp hosts by drag and drop file transferring.
- 5,767,851 teaches a method and apparatus for emulating an environment's drag and drop functionality in a host environment.
- Oakton.edu teaches FTP through a web browser to upload objects to a web server.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan F Pitaro whose telephone number is 571-272-4071. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm Monday through Thursday and on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,735

Art Unit: 2174

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan Pitaro Art Unit 2174 Patent Examiner

RFP

KRISTINE KINCARD

KRISTINE KINCARD

SUPERIOSORY PATENT EVENTUER
TECHNOLOGY CEI IN 2800

Page 6